A hearing was held on Plaintiff Flynn's Motion on May 16, 1 2011. (Mins., May 16, 2011, ECF No. 72.) John Lowther, of Doyle 3 Lowther LLP, and Amber Eck, of Zeldes & Haeggquist, LLP, appeared as counsel for Plaintiff; Leo Norton, of Cooley LLP, appeared for 4 Defendant Sony Electronics. (Id.) At the conclusion of the 5 hearing, the Court denied the Motion for Reconsideration but 6 7 requested additional briefing from the parties. (Id.) 8 Specifically, Flynn was asked to submit a financial affidavit 9 detailing his financial condition. (Id.) The Court indicated that "[t]he payer and the amount of the sanctions award, however, 10 11 will be addressed in a written order following any personal 12 financial declaration and related briefs." (Id.) 13 On May 23, 2011, Plaintiff Ronald Flynn's Financial Disclosure Affidavit Re Reconsideration of Imposition and Amount 14 15 of Sanctions was filed, which the Court directed to be filed under seal. (ECF Nos. 74-77.) Counsel for Flynn also filed Plaintiff 16 17 Ronald Flynn's Supplemental Brief in Support of Motion for Reconsideration. (Pl. Ronald Flynn's Supplemental Br. Supp. Mot. 18 19 Recons., ECF No. 75.) In the Supplemental Brief, counsel argues, 20 "Flynn requests that the Court revoke or reduce the sanctions award against him, or permit his attorneys to pay the sanctions 21 award, as he is financially unable to do so." (Id. at 1.) 22 In the earlier Motion for Reconsideration, counsel for the Plaintiffs 23 24 argued that sanctions should be imposed on Flynn's counsel rather than on Flynn. (Mot. Recons. Attach. #1 Mem. P. & A. 6, ECF No. 25 63.) 26

Alternatively, having now developed a better understanding of Mr. Flynn's business and financial condition, the Court should allow Counsel to reimburse Defendants Sony and Best Buy for the sanctions award.

27

28

As Counsel did not fully appreciate Mr. Flynn's precarious financial status, and how this could impact his ability to attend the ENE, Counsel takes responsibility for his absence.

(<u>Id.</u>)

Defendant Sony, on May 27, 2011, filed its Response to

Plaintiff Ronald Flynn's Supplemental Brief and Financial

Declaration Re Sanctions [ECF No. 78]. Sony argues that Flynn

"has not carried his burden of proving his inability to personally
pay the sanctions amount." (Sony Elecs. Inc.'s Response Pl.

Ronald Flynn's Supplemental Br. 1, ECF No. 78.) In the

alternative, Sony "requests that the sanctions amount be imposed
jointly on plaintiff Flynn and his counsel." (Id.) Finally, the

Defendant concludes that Plaintiffs' counsel should be "ordered to
pay the balance of the sanctions amount or the entirety of the
[sanctions] if the Court finds that plaintiff Flynn is unable to
personally pay them in whole or in part." (Id. at 5.)

After reviewing Ronald Flynn's Financial Disclosure

Affidavit, the supplemental briefs submitted by his counsel and

Sony, the Court concludes that Flynn's personal and financial

circumstances present extraordinary circumstances which justify

relieving him of the obligation to personally pay the sanction

amounts previously ordered. Nevertheless, Sony correctly observes

that the Defendants incurred attorneys' fees and costs that were

the result of Flynn's failure to attend the ENE. Counsel for

Flynn has acknowledged not fully appreciating Mr. Flynn's personal

circumstances and communicating them to the Court. (Mot. Recons.

Attach. #1 Mem. P. & A. 6, ECF No. 63.) For these reasons, the

Court will GRANT IN PART Plaintiffs' motion to reconsider its

February 25, 2011 Order Imposing Sanctions on Plaintiff Ronald

Flynn. As outlined in the Court's prior orders, an award of sanctions for Plaintiff Flynn's failure to attend the Court's early neutral evaluation conference is appropriate. The sanctions award is modified to impose the sanctions jointly on Flynn and his counsel, Zeldes & Haeggquist, LLP and Doyle Lowther, LLP. Rather than require that Flynn personally pay the sanction awards to Defendants, counsel for Flynn may pay the amounts to Defendants Sony Electronics and Best Buy. In all other respects, the Motion for Reconsideration is **DENIED.** 

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 31, 2011

Ruben B. Brooks, Magistrate Judg United States District Court

14 cc:

Judge Battaglia

15 | All Parties of Record